UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **EASTERN DIVISION OF MICHIGAN**

JESSE KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

Court File No: 2:19-cv-10613-BAF-RSW

Hon. Bernard Friedman

VS.

SOUTHFIELD FIRE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant.

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

For the reasons stated in brief accompanying this motion, Defendant, Southfield Fire Department, by and through its attorney, moves this Court pursuant to Fed R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) to dismiss Plaintiff, Jesses Kennedy's Complaint against Defendant for failure to state acclaim upon which relief can be granted.

Concurrence: Plaintiff was contacted by Deputy City Attorney, Dawn King, via telephone on May 21, 2019 at 12:00 p.m. to discuss concurrence with Defendant, Southfield Fire Department's Motion to Dismiss the case. Plaintiff did not concur and stated he would file a Motion to Dismiss the case in federal court due to the City's failure to file a response when the

Southfield Fire Department removed the case to this Court.

Dated: May 24, 2019

Dawn M. King (P58143)

Respectfully submitted,

Deputy City Attorney

City of Southfield

26000 Evergreen Road Southfield, MI 48076

(248) 796-5786

dking@cityofsouthfield.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DIVISION OF MICHIGAN

JESSE KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

Court File No: 2:19-cv-10613-BAF-RSW

Hon. Bernard Friedman

vs.

SOUTHFIELD FIRE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant

DEFENDANT'S BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX OF AUTH	ORITIES	.ii
INDEX OF EXHBI	ITSi	iii
I. INTRODUCTIO	N	.1
II. PROCEDURAL	HISTORY1-	2
III. FACTUAL AL	LEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT2-3	3
IV. LAW AND AR	GUMENT	3
A.	LEGAL STANDARD	3
В.	PLAINTIFF HAS NOT STATED A VALID CLAIM FOR RELIEF	4
V. CONCLUSION	AND RELIEF REQUESTED 4.4	=

INDEX OF AUTHORITIES

Ashcroft v Iqbal, 556 US 662	3, 4
Bell Atl Corp v Twombly, 550 US 544	3, 4
Keys v Humana, Inc, 684 F3d 605, 608 (CA 6, 2012)	4
COURT RULES	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)	3
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)	, 5
E.D. Mich LR 41.2	2
<u>STATUTES</u>	
28 U.S.C. § 1441 and §1446	.2
15 U.S.C. § 1681	. 4

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

46 th District Court Affidavit and Claim Small Claims Case No.SC 19-0038	1
Exhibit 2 Notice of Removal of State Case to the Federal Court	2
Exhibit 3 Order to Show Cause	2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DIVISION OF MICHIGAN

JESSE KENNEDY,

Plaintiff.

Court File No: 2:19-cv-10613-BAF-RSW

VS.

SOUTHFIELD FIRE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant.

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff's Complaint asserts claims "under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 of the Fair Credit Reporting

Act". Plaintiff's claims also state "violations, breach of security, to personal health information,

actions occurred in the City of Southfield". Other than that, the complaint does not provide any

additional information to support the underlying alleged claims. Therefore, without any specific

information supporting the alleged stated claims, Defendant, City of Southfield Fire Department

cannot prepare a proper defense or develop other plans to resolve this matter.

supporting factual allegations to support a valid claim under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 of the Fair Credit

Reporting Act or Michigan statute, the Complaint must be dismissed under Fed R. Civ. P.

12(b)(6)

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff filed an Affidavit and Claim ("the Complaint") in the Small Claims division for

the 46th District Court of Michigan for the City of Southfield, Case number SC 19-0038, on

January 24, 2019. (Exhibit 1) On February 11, 2019, the City of Southfield City received a copy

1

of the Complaint and the case was removed to the General Civil Division at the request of the City of Southfield. (Exhibit 2) On March 6, 2019 the City of Southfield, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and § 1446 filed a Notice of Removal to this Court and mailed a copy of the Notice of Removal to complainant at his address as listed in his Complaint.

Plaintiff also had a pending case that was related to the current matter that was recently dismissed. (United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan, Case No. 2:19-cv-10458) Therefore, the City of Southfield was in process of filing a Motion to Dismiss when it received an Order of Show Cause on May 15, 2019 filed by this Court as to why this case should not be dismissed due to Plaintiff's failure to prosecute pursuant to LR 41.2. (Exhibit 3)

The City of Southfield did not receive any other pleadings from Plaintiff other than initial service of the Complaint in the 46th Judicial District Court on January 24, 2019, received by the City of Southfield on February 11, 2019.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

The Complaint filed by Plaintiff does not contain substantive factual allegations. The information lists the Defendant as the Southfield Fire Department, city services. (Exhibit 1) Plaintiff is listed as an individual, Jesse Kennedy with an address of 26300 Summerdale Drive, Southfield, MI. The date of the claim, in the Complaint, is October 14, 15 of 2016, 4-25-2017, 12-13-2018. The amount of money claimed is \$6,000.00

The Complaint notates that the reason for the claim are "multiple violations under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act and violations, breach of security, to personal health information; actions occurred in the City of Southfield". The Complaint does not aver any other facts that describe the actions by the Southfield Fire Department that are alleged to

have violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681 of the Fair Credit Reporting Act or a breach of security to personal health information.

Even after serving Plaintiff with the Notice of Removal to this Court, Defendant, Southfield Fire Department did not receive any other supporting pleadings from the Plaintiff.

IV. LAW AND ARGUMENT

A. LEGAL STANDARD

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2), a pleading that states a claim for relief" must contain a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." To survive a Motion to Dismiss, a complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to "state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Ashcroft v Iqbal, 556 US 662, 678(2009) (quoting Bell Atl Corp v Twombly, 550 US 544, 570(2007)) "Asking for plausible grounds does not impose a probability requirement at the pleading stage; it simply calls for enough fact to raise a reasonable expectation that discovery will reveal evidence of illegal agreement. The need at the pleading stage for allegations plausibly suggesting (not merely consistent with) agreement reflects Rule 8(a)(2)'s threshold requirement that the "plain statement" possess enough to "show that the pleader is entitled to relief." Twombly, 550 US at 545

A plaintiff's obligation to provide the "grounds" of his "entitle[ment] to relief' requires more than labels and conclusions, and a formulaic recitation of a cause of action's elements will not do. *Id* 550 US at 545. "Threadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory statements, do not suffice. *Ashcroft v Iqbal*, 556 US 662, 679(2009) (quoting *Twombly* at 555)

B. PLAINTIFF HAS NOT STATED A VALID CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff has failed to adequately plead his claims against the Southfield Fire Department under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 Fair Credit Reporting Act, or any other federal or Michigan laws. Plaintiff only cites that there are "multiple violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act", does not specify what violations were committed by the Southfield Fire Also, Plaintiff states "breach of security to personal health information". However, Plaintiff not only fails to specify the applicable federal or state law, but also fails to supplement the Complaint with factual allegations to support the claims. "Factual allegations must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level on the assumption that all of the complaint's allegations are true. "Twombly, 550 US at 545". "A plaintiff must "plead [] factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Keys v Humana, Inc, 684 F3d 605, 608 (6th Cir. 2012) (quoting Ashcroft 556 US at 678) Plaintiff's Complaint does not provide fair notice of the factual allegations as to what the claim is actually about and the grounds upon which it rests. Humana 684 F3d 605 at 608 (quoting Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, at 93, (internal quotation marks omitted) (quoting Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544 at 555 (2007)).

Plaintiff's statements in the Complaint do not provide anything that would allow the Southfield Fire Department to file a proper defense to this matter. Therefore, there is no claim for which relief can be granted.

V. CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED

Plaintiff 's allegations that there are "multiple violations of Federal Credit Reporting Act and breach of security of personal health information" falls short of stating a valid claim

for relief. Plaintiff's Complaint only cites a conclusion, and his request for \$6000 in damages. Facts that might support a cause of actions are absent from the pleadings. Accordingly, the Plaintiff's Complaint must be dismissed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

Dated: May24, 2019

Dawn M. King

Attorney for Defendant

Respectfully submitted

City of Southfield 26000 Evergreen Road

Southfield, MI 480%

(248) 796-5786

Exhibit 1

Approved, SCAO

Original - Court 1sl copy - Defendant 2nd copy - Return 3rd copy - Plaintiff

STATE OF MICHIGAN
46 JUDICIAL DISTRICT

AFFIDAVIT AND CLAIM Small Claims

CASENO.

	Small C	laims	XEXX	SC 19 0038	
Court address 26000 Evergreen, Southfield, MI	48076			Court telephor 248-796-5	ne no.
1 JESSE KENNEDY	e instructions on the back	of plaintiff and defendant	copies.		
Plaintiff		NO	TICEOFHEARI	NG	
26300 SUMMERNA	E DRIVE	Fo	r Court Use On	ly ·	
Address Hot I	22 216 606 51211	The plaintiff and the def	endant must b	e in court on	
So JTh Titld, mt 480	33 248-808-5624 Telephone no.	WEDNESDAY		3, 2019	
	EMPLINENT	Day	Date	J, 1019	
Defendant 24477 LANSER LD	7	at 1:30 PM		court address above	э.
Address Couthfill MI 48033	248-796-5650	XX REPORT TO THE	MEDIATION	CENTER 1ST FLO	OOR
City, state, zip	Telephone no.	Location		id. 6 80.00	
		Process server's name	Fee pai	id: \$	— ·
3. A civil action between these partie has been previously filed in		out of the transaction or o Court. The case number	ccurrence alle	ged in this complai	nt
The action	is no longer pe	ending.			•
4. I have knowledge or belief about all the	ne facts stated in this affida	avit and Lam		••	
the plaintiff or his/her guardian, cor			a full-time em	ployee of the plaint	tiff.
5. The plaintiff is	☐ a partnership. ☐ a co	orporation. 🔲 a sole pro		Other	-
6. The defendantis an individual.	a partnership.	prporation. a sole pro	oprietor.	CITY SERVICE	<u> </u>
7. The date(s) the claim arose is/are $\frac{1}{Atta}$	RETOBEN 14, 15 ach separate sheets if necessar	ot 2016. 4-2	25-2017	12-13-20	18.
8. Amount of money claimed is \$	6,000.00	(NOTE: Plaintiff's costs a appropriate. They are not p	re determined by	the court and awards	ed as
9. The reasons for the claim are $\underline{m v}$	HIPEVIOLATIC	ons under 1	5 U.S.C	\$ 168	
OF THE FAIR CREDIT	EPORTING ACT,	AND VIOLATIO	ons und	ER HIP	77
		nof security	TO PERS	and LEATH INT	FORMITE
ACTIONS OCCURED IN S				•	
 The plaintiff understands and accep (a) recover more than this limit, (b) a 	ts that the claim is limited n attorney, (c) a jury trial, a	to \$6,000 by law and that and (d) appeal the judge'	t the plaintiff gi s decision.	ives up the rights to	
11. I believe the defendant ☑ is ☐ is r	not mentally competent	I believe the defendant	∏6 Ö:a =	m 2. m	
The residual design and the last of the la	iot incitally competent.		∐ is no	ot 18 years or olde	r.
12. I do not know whether the defendantThe defendant is in the military set	ant is in the military servicervice.	e. The defendant is no	ot in the militar	y s ệ rvice.	•
				2 (30)	
	17110 5	gnature	1		
Subscribed and sworn to before me on _	1-24-M,			_ county Michigan	١.
My commission expires:	Signatur	e: Deputy clerk/Notary public			_
Notary public, State of Michigan, County	of				
The defendant(s) must be served by	xpiration date	•			
C 84 (12/14) AFFIDAVIT AND CLAIM, S	Small Claims DEFENDA	MCL 600.8401 6	el seq., MCR 4.30	2, MCR 4.303, 50 USC	521 ·

Exhibit 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DIVISION OF MICHIGAN

JESSE KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

Court File No: 2:19-CV-10613-BAF- ASW

VS.

SOUTHFIELD FIRE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendant, Southfield Fire Department pursuant to 28U.S.C. § 1441 and 1446 files the following Notice of Removal with respect to Case No. GC-19-0736, currently pending in the 46th Judicial District Court, General Civil Division, State of Michigan, City of Southfield. In support of this Notice, Defendant states the following:

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

1. Plaintiff filed an Affidavit and Claim ("the Complaint) in the Small Claims division for the 46th District Court of Michigan for the City of Southfield, Case number SC 19-0038, on January 24, 2019. On February 11, 2019, the City of Southfield City Attorney's Office received a copy of the Complaint and the case was removed to the General Civil Division at request of the City of Southfield. The true and correct copy of the pleadings and related documents Plaintiff filed in state court are attached to these removal papers as Exhibit A. These documents in Exhibit A constitute all "process, pleadings, or orders" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1446.

2. Plaintiff's Complaint asserts claims "under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 of the Fair Credit

Reporting Act" and "under 42 U.S.C. § 210 of The Health Insurance Portability and

Accountability Act.

3. This court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's 15 U.S.C. 1692k(d) Complaint under

28 U.S.C. § 1331, which provides for federal jurisdiction for all civil actions arising under the

laws of the United States; under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, which provides for federal jurisdiction over

claims raised under The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act. ("HIPAA") 42

USCA 1320d-6 et seq; and 15 U.S.C. § 1681P, which provides for federal jurisdiction over

claims raised under the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA", 15 U.S.C. §1681 et seq)

4. This Court is the District Court of the United States for the district and division

embracing the place where this action is currently pending, as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1441 (a).

5. This Notice is timely filed because it is made within 30 days after the City of

Southfield City Attorney's office received the Complaint setting forth a removable claim, which

is the first date from which it could be ascertained that this action is removable. 28 U.S.C. § 1441

(a).

Written notice of the filing of this Notice of Removal has been served upon the Plaintiff,

and a copy will concurrently be filed with the 46th District Court Clerk of Court

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 27, 2019

Elizabeth Rae-O'Donnell (P41529)

City Attorney

City of Southfield

26000 Evergreen Road

Southfield, MI 48076

(248) 796-5786

eraeodonnell@cityofsouthfield.com

2

Case 2:19-cv-10613-BAF-RSW ECF No. 3_filed 05/24/19 PageID.22 Page 16 of 19

Dawn M. King (P58143)
Deputy City Attorney
City of Southfield
(248)796-5786
dking@cityofsouthfield.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 1, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing pleadings with the Clerk of the Court using ECF system which will send notification of such filing to counsel of record and served upon the following parties via U.S. First Class Mail as follows:

Clerk of the Court 46th Judicial District Court General Civil Division 26000 Evergreen Road Southfield, MI 48076

Jesse Kennedy 26300 Summerdale Drive Southfield, MI 48033

Dawn M. King (P58143)

Exhibit 3

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Jesse I	Kennedy,
---------	----------

Plaintiff(s),

٧.

Case No. 2:19-cv-10613-BAF-RSW Hon. Bernard A. Friedman

City of Southfield,

Defendant(s),

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff(s) SHOW CAUSE, in writing, by 5/29/2019, why the above–entitled case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute, pursuant to E.D. Mich LR 41.2. Failure to respond may result in dismissal of the case.

s/Bernard A. Friedman Bernard A. Friedman U.S. District Judge

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that this Notice was electronically filed, and the parties and/or counsel of record were served.

By: s/J. Curry-Williams
Case Manager

Dated: May 15, 2019

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DIVISION OF MICHIGAN

JESSE KENNEDY,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:19-cv-10613-BAF-RSW Hon. Bernard A. Friedman

v.

SOUTHFIELD FIRE DEPARTMENT,

Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 24, 2019, I electronically filed Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Defendant's Brief in Support of Motion to Dismiss with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF system, which will send notification to *All Parties and Attorneys of Record*.

Dated: May 24, 2019

Dawn M. King (P58143)

Respectfully submitted,

Deputy City Attorney

City of Southfield

26000 Evergreen Road Southfield, MI 48076

(248) 796-5786

dking@cityofsouthfield.com